THE SHORT RESOLUTION OF A SEMIGROUP ALGEBRA

I. OJEDA AND A. VIGNERON-TENORIO

Abstract. This note describes in a complete way the short resolution given Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**, 4, (2003), 1081–1091. In that paper the results are claimed to be valid for any lattice ideal. However, the main result assumes implicitly an hypothesis of simpliciality on the semigroup defining the lattice ideal. Now, we omit this hypothesis and generalize the short resolution to any affine semigroup.

arXiv:1512.00345v1 [math.RA] 1 Dec 2015

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and let S be a finitely generated commutative submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $S \cap (-S) = \{0\}$. There is a large literature on the study and computation of minimal free resolutions of the semigroup algebra $\Bbbk[S] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a}\in S} \Bbbk\chi^{\mathbf{a}}$ (see, e.g. [10] and the references therein). Most works on this topic consider $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}] := \Bbbk[X_1, \ldots, X_n]-$module with the structure given by $\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}] \to \Bbbk[S]$; $X_i \mapsto \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}$, where $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ is a (fixed) system of generators of S. Pilar Pisón proposed in [11] a new and original resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$. She considered $\Bbbk[S]$ as a module over a polynomial ring in less variables determined by the extreme rays of the rational cone generated by S and she explicitly constructed a free resolution that she called *short resolution* of $\Bbbk[S]$.

Recently, we noticed that her paper contains a mistake or omission: the generators of S corresponding to extreme rays are implicity assumed to be $\mathbb{Z}-$linearly independent which is not true in general. This actually happens when the semigroup is simplicial. However, the mistake only affects construction of the short resolution ([11, Section 1]), being the combinatorial description of the short resolution correct ([11, Section 2]) because it is deduced from the results in [2].

The original aim of this note was to avoid the simplicial hypothesis on the semigroup by giving the corresponding generalization of Pisón's contruction. But, during the course of this work, we realized that some improvements can be made so that some results and many proofs from the Pison's paper have been simplified. Summarizing, we generalize the results in [11] and simplify some of their proofs, producing a minimal free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ that we have called the Pison's resolution.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 some general concepts and notation are introduced. Section 3 is devoted to the Apery sets. P. Pisón gave in [11] an algorithm for the computation of Apéry sets by using Gröbner bases techniques with respect to a particular local term order. We refine her idea by using a global term order (Theorem 3) and we obtain some consequences as an algorithm to compute the Fröbenius number of a numerical semigroup; a similar algorithm has been recently announced by Morales and Nguyen Thi Dung ([9]). In Section 4, we give a presentation of any semigroup algebra as a module over a ring in so many variables as the cone of the semigroup has (Theorem 7). Moreover, a characterization of the simplicial and Cohen-Macaulay semigroups is obtainted (Corollary 11). Finally, in the last

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 14M25 (Primary) 13P10, 68W30 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Lattice ideal.

Both authors are partially supported by the project MTM2012-36917-C03-01, National Plan I+D+I. The first is partially supported by Junta de Extremadura (FEDER funds) and the second by Junta de Andalucía (group FQM-366).

section, we propose a new combinatorial description of the Pison's resolution of a semigroup algebra and we explicitly determine the isomorphisms from the combinatorial side to the minimal generators for the presentation given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let G be a finitely generated abelian group. Given a finite subset $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ of G, we consider the subsemigroup S of G generated by $\mathcal{A}$, that is to say, $S = \mathbb{N}\mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{N}\mathbf{a}_n$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers. Observe that S is an affine semigroup, in particular, it is finitely generated, cancellative and commutative semigroup with zero element.

Associated to $\mathcal{A}$ is the surjective function

$$\deg_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{N}^n \longrightarrow S;\ \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \longmapsto \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \mathbf{a}_i$$

This map is called the factorization map of S in the literature and, accordingly, $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ is called the set of factorizations of $\mathbf{a} \in S$.

The cardinality of $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}),\ \mathbf{a} \in S$, is not necessarily finite. The necessary and sufficient condition for the finiteness of factorizations is that $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and $-\mathbf{a} \in S \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} = 0$ (see [1, Proposition 1.1]); equivalently,

$$u_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + u_n\mathbf{a}_n = 0,\ (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \Longrightarrow u_1 = \ldots = u_n = 0. \tag{1}$$

In the following, we will assume that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies this condition. Notice that (1) guarantees that the relation: $\mathbf{a}' \prec_S \mathbf{a}$ if and only if $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}' \in S$, is a well defined partial order on S.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field. The map $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}$ induces the following surjective $\Bbbk-$algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_{\mathcal{A}} : \Bbbk[\mathbb{N}^n] = \Bbbk[X_1, \ldots, X_n] & \longrightarrow & \Bbbk[S] := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in S} \Bbbk\, \chi^{\mathbf{a}}; \\ \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{u}} := X_1^{u_1} \cdots X_n^{u_n} & \longmapsto & \chi^{\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{u})} \end{array}$$

Observe that if we consider the grading $\deg(X_i) = \mathbf{a}_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$, on $\Bbbk[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is homogeneous of degree zero. Hence, both the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}} := \ker(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}})$ and the coordinate ring $\Bbbk[S] \cong \Bbbk[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/I_{\mathcal{A}}$ are $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous.

In the following, unless otherwise stated, we set $\deg(X_i) = \mathbf{a}_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$. That is to say, we will consider $\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}]$ multigraded by $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for the finiteness of factorizations assumed above, implies that there exists a minimal $\mathcal{A}-$graded free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$, which is defined by the property that all of the differentials become zero when tensored with $\Bbbk \cong \Bbbk[\mathbf{X}]/\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_m \rangle$ (See [8, Section 8.3]).

Definition 1. *The $i-$th (multigraded) Betti number of $\Bbbk[S]$ in degree $\mathbf{a}$ is*

$$\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\Bbbk[S]) := \dim_{\Bbbk} \operatorname{Tor}_i^{\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}]}(\Bbbk, \Bbbk[S])_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

3. Apery sets

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field and let G be a finitely generated abelian group of rank d and let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subseteq G$ be satisfying (1), we define the polyhedral cone of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows

$$\operatorname{pos}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\lambda_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n\mathbf{a}_n \ \mid\ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\} \subset G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{pos}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{pos}(\{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_r\}), r \leq n$. Thus, in the following we will write $E = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_r\}$ and $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{a}_{r+i},\ i = 1, \ldots, s := n - r$. So that,

$$\mathcal{A} = E \cup \{\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_s\}.$$

This is called a convex partition in [2, Definition 4.1]. If S is a simplicial semigroup, that is to say if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(pos(\mathcal{A})) = \operatorname{rank}(G) = d$, we may take $r = d$; in this case, the above partition is also called a simplicial partition.

Let S be the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]$ and $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]$ be the polynomial rings in r and n variables, respectively, over a field $\Bbbk$.

Let $\prec$ be an $\mathcal{A}-$graded reverse lexicographical monomial order on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]$ such that $Y_i \prec Z_j$, for every $i=1,\ldots,r$ and $j=1,\ldots,s$. Set $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}} : \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}] \to \Bbbk[S];\ \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} \mapsto \chi^{\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u})}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}} = \ker(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}})$ with respect to $\prec$. We will write $\mathcal{Q}$ for the exponents of the standard monomials in the variables $Z_1,\ldots,Z_s$, that is to say,

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} \notin \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})\}.$$

Proposition 2. *The set $\mathcal{Q}$ is finite.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{b}_j \in \mathrm{pos}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{pos}(\{\mathbf{a}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}_r\})$, there exist $u_j, v_{1j},\ldots,v_{rj} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_j\mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{ij}\mathbf{a}_i$, for every $j=1,\ldots,s$ (i.e. $Z_j^{u_j} - Y_1^{v_1}\cdots Y_r^{v_r} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for each j). Therefore, $Z^{\mathbf{u}'} \in \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, for every $\mathbf{u}' \in \mathbb{N}^s$ whose $j-$th coordiante is larger than u_j for some $j=1,\ldots,s$. □

We recall that the Apéry set of S relative to E, $\mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{Ap}(S,E) := \{\mathbf{a} \in S \ \mid\ \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e} \notin S,\ \forall \mathbf{e} \in E\}.$$

Our main result in this section improves [11, Lema 1.2]. In contrast to [11], we are considering a global monomial order. This will have important consequences for the forthcoming constructions.

Observe that the natural injection $\iota : \mathbb{N}^s \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^n; \mathbf{u} \mapsto (0,\mathbf{u})$ allows us to restrict $\deg_{\mathcal{A}} \circ\, \iota(-)$ to $\mathcal{Q}$.

Theorem 3. *The restriction of $\deg_{\mathcal{A}} \circ\, \iota(-)$ to $\mathcal{Q}$ defines a bijective map $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and set $\mathbf{q} = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big(\iota(\mathbf{u})\big)$. If $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ is a singlenton, then $\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$; on the contrary, there would exist $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$ such that $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i\mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} w_j\mathbf{b}_j \in S$. So, $\iota(\mathbf{u}) \neq (\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{a}_i \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$, where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1,\ldots,v_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1,\ldots,w_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Suppose now that $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ has cardinality greater than one. So, there exists $(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = (v_1,\ldots,v_r,w_1,\ldots,w_s) \in \mathbb{N}^n = \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}^s$ different from $(0,\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i\mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{s} w_i\mathbf{b}_i$. Thus, there exists nonzero $f = \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{w}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} \notin \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, we have that $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} \prec \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}$. Then, by choice of the monomial order, $\mathbf{v}=0$ and it follows that $\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$. Therefore, the image of the restriction of $\deg_{\mathcal{A}} \circ\, \iota(-)$ to $\mathcal{Q}$ lies in $\mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$.

Consider now $\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{Ap}(S,E)$. Then, $\mathbf{q}$ admits a factorization in the form $\mathbf{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} v_i\mathbf{b}_i$. The remainder of the division of $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{v} = (v_1,\ldots,v_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, by $\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a monomial $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ of $\mathcal{A}-$degree $\mathbf{q}$ which does not lie in $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. Hence $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big(\iota(\mathbf{u})\big) = \mathbf{q}$ which proves the surjectivity of our map.

Finally, in order to prove that $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big(\iota(\mathbf{u})\big) = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big(\iota(\mathbf{v})\big)$ implies $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}$, it suffices to observe that $f := \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{v}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. So, if $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$, then $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(f) = \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ (or $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(f) = \mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{v}}$), that is to say, $\mathbf{u} \notin \mathcal{Q}$ (or $\mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{Q}$) which leads us to a contradiction. □

Observe that Proposition 2 gives an easy algorithm for computation of Apéry sets. A similar algorithm, based on a semigroup approach, can be found in [7].

Moreover, in the particular case when S is a numerical semigroup (that is, to say if S is a submonoid of $\mathbb{N}$ with finite complement in $\mathbb{N}$), Theorem 3 gives an algorithm for computing the Fröbenius number of $S, g(S)$ (i.e., the greatest natural number not belonging to S). It suffices to recall the well-known formula due to R. Apéry (see, e.g., [6, Proposition 10.4])

$$g(S) = \max\{Ap(S,\mathbf{a}_1)\} - \mathbf{a}_1$$

and the fact that any nonzero element of a numerical semigroup generates the corresponding polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{Q}$. It is fair to point out that Marcel Morales and Nguyen Thi Dung have recently produced an analogous algorithm in [9] by using similar arguments.

Example 4. The following example is taken from [4]. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{8,11,18\}$ and let $\prec$ be the $\mathcal{A}-$graded reverse lexicographical monomial order on $\Bbbk[Y,Z_1,Z_2]$, such that $Y \prec Z_2 \prec Z_1$. We

computed with Singular [5] the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \Bbbk[Y, Z_1, Z_2]$ with respect $\prec$:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = \{Z_1^2 Z_2 - Y^5, Z_1^4 - Z_2^2 Y, Z_2^3 - Z_1^2 Y^4\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{Q} = \{1, Z_1, Z_2, Z_1^2, Z_1 Z_2, Z_2^2, Z_1^3, Z_1 Z_2^2\}$ and

$$\mathrm{Ap}(S, \{8\}) = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{0, 11, 18, 22, 29, 36, 33, 47\}.$$

In this case, the Fröbenius number is 39.

The whole process can be automated easily, as the following Singular code shows:

```
LIB "toric.lib";
LIB "general.lib";
intmat A[1][3] = 18,11,8;
ring r = 0, (Z(1..size(A)-1), Y), dp;
ideal i = toric_ideal(A,"hs");
ring s = 0, (Z(1..size(A)-1), Y), wp(A);
ideal i = imap(r,i);
ideal m = lead(std(i));
ideal Q = kbase(std(m+Y));
int n;
intmat Ap[1][size(Q)];
for (n = 1; n <= size(Q); n = n + 1)
    {Ap[1,n] = A*intmat(leadexp(Q[n]));}
int g = sort(intvec(Ap))[1][size(Q)]-A[1,size(A)];
g;
```

The interested reader is encouraged to change the third line of the code above, in order to compute the Fröbenius number of his or her favourite semigroup.

4. Pison's free resolution

We keep the notation of the previous section. Let S_E be the subsemigroup of S generated by E. Set

$$\Bbbk[S_E] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in S_E} \Bbbk \chi^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

The composition $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}] \xrightarrow{\varphi_E} \Bbbk[S_E] \hookrightarrow \Bbbk[S]$ defines a natural structure of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module on $\Bbbk[S]$.

Obviously, $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]$ is multigraded by S, then there exists a minimal $\mathcal{A}-$graded free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module (see [8, Section 8.3]). In order to compute this resolution, a $\mathcal{A}-$graded presentation of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module is required.

Proposition 5. *The set* $\{\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ *is a minimal system of generators of* $\Bbbk[S]$ *as* $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$*module.*

Proof. Since $\Bbbk[S] \cong \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}]/I_{\mathcal{A}}$, the result follows from the definition of $\mathcal{Q}$. □

Remark 6. Observe that S is a simplicial semigroup if and only if $I_{S_E} = I_S \cap \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}] = 0$. In this case, φ_E is an isomorphism. This condition on S is implicitly assumed in [11, Section 1]. In order to get the general case, we do not assume this condition to hold.

First of all, we order $\mathcal{Q}$ lexicographically, say; so that there is a bijection σ from $\{1, \ldots, \beta_0 := \#\mathcal{Q}\}$ to $\mathcal{Q}$. Now, we may define the following surjective $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module homomorphism

$$\psi_0 : \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0} \longrightarrow \Bbbk[S]$$

with $\psi_0(\varepsilon_i) = \mathbf{Z}^{\sigma(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \beta_0$, where $\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\beta_0}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$.

Let $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'}$ be an element of $\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ whose leading term is $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ with $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. First of all, we notice that $\mathbf{v}' \neq 0$ which implies $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{u}'$. Otherwise, since $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is saturated, $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, in contradiction with $\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ to be reduced. Now, for each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{Q}$, consider the remainder, $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{w}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}''}$, of $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'+\mathbf{w}}$

on division by $\mathcal{G}_\prec(I_\mathcal{A})$, which may be $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'+\mathbf{w}}$ itself, and define the element $\mathbf{f} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$ whose $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{w})-$th and $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{u}'')-$th coordinates are $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}$ and $-\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'+\mathbf{w}'}$, respectively, and zeroes elsewhere. Observe that $\psi_0(\mathbf{f}) = 0$

Let $\mathcal{M}' = \{\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1'}\} \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$ be the set of elements of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$ defined as above and let M' be the $\beta_0 \times \beta_1'-$matrix whose columns are $\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1'}$.

If $I_{S_E} \neq 0$, then there exists $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'} \in \mathcal{G}_\prec(I_\mathcal{A})$ whose leading term is $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ with $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{u} = 0$; in particular, $\mathbf{u}' = 0$; otherwise the leading term would be $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'}$. Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_t\} \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]$ be a (minimal) system of binomial generators of I_{S_E} and define the $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module generated by the columns of the matrix

$$N = \mathbf{1}_{\beta_0} \otimes (g_1 \ \ldots \ g_t),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\beta_0}$ denotes the identity matrix of order β_0 and $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product of matrices.

Set $\beta_1 = \beta_1' + t \cdot \beta_0$. Clearly, $M := (M'|N)$ defines a homomorphism of free $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$modules $\psi_1 : \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_1} \to \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$ such that $\operatorname{im}(\psi_1) \subseteq \ker(\psi_0)$. If $I_{S_E} = 0$, we take $t = 0$ and $M = M'$ (this is the case in [11, Section 1]).

Theorem 7. *With the notation above,* $\operatorname{im}(\psi_1) = \ker(\psi_0)$. *In particular,* $\operatorname{coker}(\psi_1) \cong_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]} \Bbbk[S]$, *that is to say, ψ_1 is a presentation of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module.*

Proof. By construction, it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{im}(\psi_1) \supseteq \ker(\psi_0)$.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_{\beta_0} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]$ be such that $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_{\beta_0})^\top \in \ker(\psi_0)$, where $\top$ denotes transpose. By hypothesis, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_0} f_i \mathbf{Z}^{\sigma(i)} \in I_\mathcal{A}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $f_i\mathbf{Z}^{\sigma(i)}$ is homogeneous of $\mathcal{A}-$degree $\mathbf{a}$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, \beta_0$.

If $f \neq 0$, then its is $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'}$ with $\mathbf{v}' \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{u}' = \sigma(i)$ for some i. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_\prec(I_\mathcal{A})$ be an element whose leading term, $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$, divides $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'}$.

If $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$, let $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{u}$ and consider the element $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponding to g and $\mathbf{w}$. In this case, we obtain that $\mathbf{f} - \psi_1(\varepsilon_j) := (f_1', \ldots, f_{\beta_0}')^\top \in \ker(\psi_0)$, where ε_j is the $j-$th vector of the canonical basis of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_1}$, and the leading term of $f' = \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_0} f_j'\mathbf{Z}^{\sigma(i)}$ is lesser than the leading term of f.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{u} = 0$, then $g = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}''} \in I_{S_E}$. Therefore, $g = \sum_{j=1}^{t} h_j g_j$. Let H be the $t \times \beta_0-$matrix whose $i-$th column is $(h_1 \ldots h_t)^\top$ and define $\mathbf{h}_i = \binom{\mathbf{0}}{\operatorname{vec}(H)} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_1}$, where $\operatorname{vec}(-)$ denotes the vectorization operator and $\mathbf{0}$ is a vector of zeroes. Clearly, $\mathbf{f} - \psi_1(\mathbf{h}_i) = (f_1', \ldots, f_{\beta_0}')^\top \in \ker(\psi_0)$ and the leading term of $f' = \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_0} f_j'\mathbf{Z}^{\sigma(i)}$ is lesser than the leading term of f.

Now, we repeat the same process to $(f_1', \ldots, f_{\beta_0}')^\top$, and so on. Since in each step the leading term of the corresponding polynomial in $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}]$ decreases, this process must terminate. □

Recall that an $\mathcal{A}-$graded free resolution of $\operatorname{coker}(\varphi_1)$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module is an acyclic complex of length $t \leq r$

$$\mathcal{P} : \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_t} \xrightarrow{\psi_t} \ldots \longrightarrow \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0} \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\psi_1),$$

where the maps are all homegeneous of $\mathcal{A}-$degree 0. Since, by Theorem 7, $\operatorname{coker}(\psi_1) \cong_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]} \Bbbk[S]$, we call $\mathcal{P}$ a **Pisón's free resolution** of $\Bbbk[S]$. Notice that both the isomorphism and φ_1 are given explicitly, so this resolution can be effectively computed.

Example 8. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and consider the subsemigroup S of $\mathbb{N}^4$ generated by the columns, $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_6$ and $\mathbf{b}$, of A. Set $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_6, \mathbf{b}\}$ and $E = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_6\}$, clearly $\operatorname{pos}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{pos}(E)$. The ideal $I_\mathcal{A} \subseteq$

$\Bbbk[Y_1,\ldots,Y_6,Z]$ is equal to $\langle Z^2-Y_3Y_4\rangle + I_{S_E}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}=\{0,1\}$,

$$M=\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & g_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & g_4\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{g_1=Y_1Y_5-Y_3Y_6, g_2=Y_1Y_3^3-Y_2Y_4Y_5^2, g_3=Y_1^2Y_3^2-Y_2Y_4Y_5Y_6, g_4=Y_1^3Y_3-Y_2Y_4Y_6^2\}$ is a minimal system of generators of I_{S_E}, and we conclude that the Pisón's free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ is

$$0\to \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_3\oplus\phi_3} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^8 \xrightarrow{\phi_2\oplus\phi_2} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^8 \xrightarrow{\phi_1\oplus\phi_1} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \Bbbk[S],$$

where

$$0\to \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}] \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^4 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^4 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}] \xrightarrow{\varphi_E} \Bbbk[S_E]$$

is a minimal free resolution of $\Bbbk[S_E]$.

There is a known relation between the Betti numbers of the $\mathcal{A}-$graded minimal free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ and the Pison's resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$. We summarize it below.

Remark 9. Let us write $\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\Bbbk[S])$ and $\bar{\beta}_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\Bbbk[S])$ for the $i-$th Betti number of $\Bbbk[S]$ in degree $\mathbf{a}$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}](=\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}])-$module and as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module, respectively. Then, by [2, Proposition 3.3], one has that

$$\beta_{i,\mathbf{a}}(\Bbbk[S])\neq 0 \Longrightarrow \bar{\beta}_{i-j,\mathbf{a}-\sum_{k\in F\subseteq B}\mathbf{b}_k}(\Bbbk[S])\neq 0, \text{ for each } F\subseteq B \text{ with } \#F\leq j+1, \text{and } B=\mathcal{A}\backslash E.$$

The proof of the following result is a straightforward consequence from our construction.

Corollary 10. *With the notation above, if $\{\mathbf{b}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{b}_s\}$ are $\mathbb{Z}-$linearly independent, then $i-$th map in the Pison's free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ can be taken to be the direct sum of $\#\mathcal{Q}$ copies of the $i-$th map in a minimal free the resolution of $\Bbbk[S_E]$, for every $i>0$.*

Corollary 11. *With the notation above, if S is a simplicial semigroup, then S is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the generators of $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I_S)$ does not depend on $Y_1,\ldots,Y_r$.*

Proof. First of all, it is convenient to emphasize that $\mathrm{depth}_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]}(S)=\mathrm{depth}_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]}(S)$. On the other hand, if S is simplicial, then $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]=\Bbbk[S_E]$. Thus, S is Cohen-Maculay if and only if $\mathrm{depth}_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]}(S)=\mathrm{depth}_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]}(S)=d$. Equivanlently, by the Auslander-Buchbaum formula, the projective dimension of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module is 0. Therefore, $\psi_0:\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\#\mathcal{Q}}\cong_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]}\Bbbk[S]$ which means $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I_S)$ is minimally generated in $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Z}]$ by the above construction. □

Example 12. Let $\mathcal{A}=\{(6,1),(6,2),(6,3),(7,2),(7,3),(8,2),(8,3),(9,3),(10,3)\}\subset\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]=\Bbbk[Y_1,Z_1,Y_2,Z_2,\ldots,Z_7]$. Let $\prec$ be the $\mathcal{A}-$graded reverse lexicographical term ordering on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Z}]$ such that $Y_1\prec Y_2\prec Z_1\prec\ldots\prec Z_7$. The computation of the minimal system of generators of $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I_\mathcal{A})$ can be done with Singular ([5]):

```
LIB "toric.lib";
option(redSB);
intmat A[2][9] = 6,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,10,
                 1,3,2,2,3,2,3,3,3;
intmat B[9][9] = 6,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,10,
                 1,3,2,2,3,2,3,3,3,
                -1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,
                 0,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,
                 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,
                 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,
                 0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,
                 0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,
                 0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0;
ring r = 0, (Y1,Y2,Z1,Z2,Z3,Z4,Z5,Z6,Z7), dp;
ideal i = toric_ideal(A,"hs");
ring s = 0, (Y1,Y2,Z1,Z2,Z3,Z4,Z5,Z6,Z7), M(B);
```

```
ideal i = imap(r,i);
i = groebner(i);
ideal m = lead(i);
```

Now, since $\text{in}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = \langle Z_1^2, Z_1Z_2, Z_1Z_3, Z_2^2, Z_1Z_4, Z_2Z_3, Z_1Z_5, Z_3^2, Z_2Z_4, Z_2Z_5, Z_1Z_6, Z_3Z_5, Z_4^2, Z_2Z_6, Z_1Z_7, Z_5^2, Z_3Z_6, Z_2Z_7, Z_3Z_7, Z_4Z_7, Z_6^2, Z_5Z_7, Z_6Z_7, Z_7^2, Z_4Z_5Z_6\rangle$, by Corollary 11, we conclude that the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

We end this section by giving a formula for the Castelnouvo-Mumford regulary of I_S in terms of the set $\mathcal{Q}$ when S is Cohen-Macaulay and simplicial.

Corollary 13. *With the notation above, if S is a Cohen-Macaulay and simplicial semigroup such that I_S is homogeneous for the standard grading, then the Castelnouvo-Mumford regulary of I_S is*

$$\text{reg}(I_S) = \max\Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i \;\mid\; \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{Q}\Big\}$$

Proof. By the proof of Corollary 11, $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\#\mathcal{Q}} \cong_{\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]} \Bbbk[S]$. Now, it is particular case of [3, Theorem 16]. □

5. Combinatorial description

Again, we keep the notation of the previous sections. Let

$$\mathcal{P} : \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_t} \xrightarrow{\psi_t} \ldots \longrightarrow \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \Bbbk[S],$$

be an $\mathcal{A}-$graded free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module, that is to say, a Pison's free resolution of $\Bbbk[S]$. Set $M_i = \ker(\psi_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, $\mathfrak{m}_E$ equals to the irrelanvant ideal of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]$ and $W_i(\mathbf{a}) = (M_i/\mathfrak{m}_E M_i)_{\mathbf{a}}$, with $\mathbf{a} \in S$. Since $W_i(\mathbf{a}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{\Bbbk[\mathbf{X}]}(\Bbbk, \Bbbk[S])_{\mathbf{a}}$, the $i-$th Betti number of $\Bbbk[S]$ is degree $\mathbf{a}$ is $\dim_{\Bbbk}(W_i(\mathbf{a}))$. Thus,

$$\beta_i = \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in S} \dim_{\Bbbk}(W_i(\mathbf{a})),$$

for each $i = 0, \ldots, t$.

The following abstract simplicial complexes

$$T_{\mathbf{a}} = \{F \subseteq E \mid \mathbf{a} - \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in F} \mathbf{e} \in S\}$$

were introduce in [2] and used in [11] to describe the combinatorics of $\mathcal{P}$. Specifically, the following result is verified:

Proposition 14. *For every $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and $i = \{0 \ldots, t\}$,*

$$\widetilde{H}_i(T_{\mathbf{a}}) \cong W_i(\mathbf{a}),$$

where $\widetilde{H}_i(-)$ denotes the $i-$th reduced homology $\Bbbk$-vector space of $T_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Proof. See [11, Propositon 2.1]. □

Given $\mathbf{a} \in S$, we define

$$C_{\mathbf{a}} = \Big\{ \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}] \;\mid\; \deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big((\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u})\big) = \mathbf{a}, \text{ for some } \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{Q}\Big\}.$$

Let $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the abstract simplicial complex with vertex set $C_{\mathbf{a}}$ defined as follows

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \big\{F \subseteq C_{\mathbf{a}} \mid \gcd(F) \neq 1\big\}$$

Theorem 15. *For every $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and $i = \{0 \ldots, t\}$,*

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(T_{\mathbf{a}}).$$

Proof. For each $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}} \in C_{\mathbf{a}}$ define the simplicial complex $K_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathcal{P}\big(\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}})\big)$ to be the full subcomplex of $T_{\mathbf{a}}$ whose vertex set is $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}})$. Now, $F \in T_{\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}} \in C_{\mathbf{a}}$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}) \supseteq F$. Indeed, if $F \in T_{\mathbf{a}}$, then $\mathbf{a} - \sum_{\mathbf{e}\in F} \mathbf{e} \in S$, that is to say, there exists $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}\big((\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u})\big) = \mathbf{a}$, for some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^s$. Now, by taking the remainder of $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}}$ upon division by $\mathcal{G}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, we obtain a monomial $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'}$ with $\mathbf{u}' \in \mathcal{Q}$. So, $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w}} \in C_{\mathbf{a}}$ and we are done; clearly, the opposite inclusion is true. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{a}} := \{K_{\mathbf{v}} \mid \mathbf{v} \in C_{\mathbf{a}}\}$ is a cover of $T_{\mathbf{a}}$. Moreover, since $\cap_{i=1}^{q} K_{\mathbf{v}_i} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $\gcd\big(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}_q}\big) \neq 1$, we have that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the nerve of $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{a}}$. Finally, since each non-empty finite intersection, $\cap_{i=1}^{q} K_{\mathbf{v}_i}$, is a full simplex, they are acyclic, in particular. Thus, by the Nerve Lemma (see [8, Lemma 5.36]), we conclude that $\widetilde{H}_i(\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(T_{\mathbf{a}})$. □

From the proof of Theorem 15, it follows that if $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is disconnected, then we may choose $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'} \in C_{\mathbf{a}}$ in different connected components of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ such that $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{u}'} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for some $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{u}' \in \mathcal{Q}$. Now, with the same notation as in Section 2, let $\mathbf{f} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]^{\beta_0}$ whose $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{u})-$th and $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{u}')-$th coordinates are $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}}$ and $-\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{v}'}$, respectively, and zeroes elsewhere. Notice that the case $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}'$ is not avoided, in this case, by construction, the only nonzero entry of $\mathbf{f}$ is minimal generator of I_{S_E} in position $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{u})$. Thus, putting this together with the construction of the presentation of $\Bbbk[S]$ as $\Bbbk[\mathbf{Y}]-$module given in Section 2, we obtain that the isomorphisms $\widetilde{H}_0(\Gamma_{\mathbf{a}}) \cong W_0(\mathbf{a})$ are explicitly described, for every $\mathbf{a} \in S$.

References

[1] Briales, E.; Campillo, A.; Marijuán, C.; Pisón, P. *Minimal Systems of Generetors for Ideals of Semigroups.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **124** (1998), 7–30.

[2] A. Campillo, P. Gimenez. *Syzygies of affine toric varieties.* J. Algebra **225** (2000), 142–161.

[3] Briales, E.; Campillo, A.; Pison, P.; Vigneron, A. *Simplicial Complexes and Syzygies of Lattice Ideals.* Symbolic computation: solving equations in algebra, geometry, and engineering (South Hadley, MA, 2000), 169–183, Contemp. Math., **286**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[4] Cortadellas Benítez, T.; Jafari, R.; Zarzuela Armengou, S. *On the Apery sets of monomial curves.* Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), no. 2, 289–320.

[5] Decker, W.; Greuel, G.-M.; Pfister, G.; Schönemann, H. *Singular 4-0-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations.* `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de` (2015).

[6] García-Sánchez, P.A.; Rosales, J.C. *Finitely generated commutative monoids.* Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Commack, NY, 1999.

[7] Marquez-Campos, G.; Ojeda, I.; Tornero, J.M. *On the computation of the Apery set of numerical monoids and affine semigroups.* Semigroup Forum. Published online: 01 October 2014

[8] Miller E.; Sturmfels, B. *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra.* Vol. 227 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York. 2005.

[9] Morales, M.; Dung, N.T. *Gröbner basis. A new algorithm for computing the Frobenius number.* `arXiv:1510.01973[math.AC]`

[10] Ojeda, I.; Vigneron-Tenorio, A. *Simplicial complexes and minimal free resolution of monomial algebras.* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **214** (2010), 850–861.

[11] Pisón Casares, P. *The short resolution of a lattice ideal.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**, 4, (2003), 1081–1091.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Extremadura, E-06071 Badajoz, España
E-mail address: `ojedamc@unex.es`

Departamento de Matemáticas. Universidad de Cádiz. E-11405 Jerez de la Frontera (Cádiz), España
E-mail address: `alberto.vigneron@uca.es`